



Are you in favor of the revised resolution on the visibility of the chair's letter to the dean in tenure cases'	? 113	
Q18 - Are you in favor of the revised resolution on the visibility of the chair's letter to the dean in tenure cases?	Percentage	Count
Yes	88%	100
No	5%	6
Abstain	6%	7

Are you in favor of the revised resolution on the visibility of the chair's letter to the dean in tenure cases? 113					
Are you in favor of the revised resolution on the visibility of the chair's	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count	
Yes	1.00	1.00	1.00	100	
No	2.00	2.00	2.00	6	
Abstain	3.00	3.00	3.00	7	

Comments 113 (i)

Comments: This will slow down an exceedingly slow process even more to solve a problem that I think is extremely rare If I understand correctly, this is already the procedure in A&S and it has made sense. Increased transparency in promotion reviews is a positive development.

I appreciate the work to increase transparency. I have concerns about "upon request" deterring faculty members to pursue the information when desired, so I hope that if this goes forward, some strong efforts at ensuring compliance are put in place. We were split on this one. It should be noted that the presentations of this resolution have been somewhat difficult to follow and it is unclear why we were not allowed to discuss it at the most recent Faculty Senate meeting. There are certainly merits to increased "visibility" in the tenure process, especially in divided departments. However, the current system already has several checks and balances that should prevent abuses at the hands of a department chair (e.g., the FACTA committee). What this resolution primarily seems to be proposing is to give even more powers of supervision to administration. Faculty should be able to trust each other and resolve these kinds of issues themselves. Let us not cede even more power to central administration!
most recent Faculty Senate meeting. There are certainly merits to increased "visibility" in the tenure process, especially in divided departments. However, the current system already has several checks and balances that should prevent abuses at the hands of a department chair (e.g., the FACTA committee). What this resolution primarily seems to be proposing is to give even more powers of supervision to administration. Faculty should be able to trust each other and resolve these kinds of issues themselves. Let us not cede even more power to central