

Are you in favor of the pending Resolution Concerning Cornell Vice President Joel Malina's Violations of Faculty Academic Freedom? 60 Yes; 40 No; 18 Abstain; 15 DNV

Comments

He is leaving the university, point moot. He did not have a fair hearing

I believe the content here is important, but the process was too convoluted to result in a clear resolution. It may be worth bringing a new, focused version of the resolution around academic freedom in the new year.

The policy covers the protection of academic freedom and the current discussion stands as evidence that the faculty will continue to hold administration to the University policy. Im not sure that this proposal will change anything.

Although he's leaving, so the point is entirely moot at this stage

We are looking forward to VP Malina's departure and hope that his successor is someone with high integrity and mindfulness

The deficiencies of this proposal were well illuminated during the Dec faculty meeting. It has been a frustrating loss of faculty senate time with no redeeming utility.

It is essential for the Cornell administration to publicly reject VP Malina's statements that violate faculty academic freedom, and for the Cornell administration to make clear public statements of commitment to academic freedom.

I tend to side with those who argued that this matter has been resolved, between Malina's letter, the provost's comments to the Senate, and then Malina's departure.

I prefer to see a resolution more focused on freedom of speech and expression instead of Malina himself, who is retiring.

This resolution is an inappropriately personalized vilification of a top Cornell administrator. It is measures like this that lead many to not take the Faculty Senate seriously.

Discussing Joel Malina's transgressions is starting to get embarrassing. I hope the Senate can move on from this next semester.

I am very worried about this resolution. The Senate's credibility is low because we vote on such resolutions. We should have invited someone from the administration to discuss the issue instead.

Passing a resolution based on a Cornell Sun articles would be an embarrassment to the Faculty Senate. - The resolution confounded "scrutiny" with "surveillance", which aren't the same. - Since Malina has left Cornell, this is unnecessary. - This is a continued effort to vilify anyone who tries to address community members' concerns, when people don't like what they are saying.

I would have greatly preferred to have heard VP Malina's own view of this private meeting and the context of his comments, rather than being presented with an accusatory resolution